G R. S 58]

Previous references

Nil 479-04(1-3)
489-05 (1-2)

Later references

1910.
(Municipal)

File No 135

Serial Nos 1,2,3,4"

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1,2,3,4 & 5

Part B for Jan 4, 1911

SUBJECT

Petitions of M Ranga Iyengar and the residents of Nadur, Channapatna Taluk, regarding the removal of a stone mantap at Channapatna

from M Ranga Iyengar, Ret^d 28.5.10: Prays for a reconsideration of G.P no 5768-95 1.10 directing the cancellation of the sale of a stone mantap at Channapatna to Ranga Iyengar.

2. From the inhabitants of Nadur, Channapatna, Ret^d 28.5.10: Pray that, for reasons given, the stone mantap may rather be preserved than destroyed

3 To the D.C. Bangalore, No 3202 & 3.10.10. Forwards the above petitions for report

4. From Do, no 1345 3.1.11: States that the Mantaps deserve to be preserved

5. To Do, no 6412 & 28.1.11: Cancels the sale of the inams

T. 1940

G.R.S 11] 190-1/.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municipal)

NOTES.

File No. 135-1910

FILE HEADING

Petitions of Mr. Rangaiyangar and the residents of Malur, Channapatna Taluk, regarding the removal of a stone mantap at Channapatna.

From

1) Mr. Rangaiyangar, Chawrags Indhalla, Mysore
2) The residents of Malur Channapatna Taluk,

Petitions No Dated 28 S^r. 1910 Received 6-9-1910

Diary No 1994	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No 182

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

The petitioners pray for a reconsideration of Govt. Progs. No. 5668-9/Mul 479-09-3 85-1-1910 during the cancellation of the sale of a stone mantap at Channapatna to the petitioner Ranga Iyengar.

NOTES AND ORDERS

Dewan's order on the petitions:-

To the Dy. Comm^r, Bangalore District, for report with papers, if any.

Sd/- T. Ch. R. 10/9/1910

Subd with draft.
Mem^m 29/9/10.

2. Issue
29-9-10

Notes—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No

3 2. To the Dy Commr, Bangalore Shimoga Dt No 32028
3-10-1910.

Forwards Sls 122 for report.

4. From the above officer. No 13483 dated January 1911
Submits the report and the records

5 The facts of the case are clearly stated in the Dy. Commrs Letter.

6 Ranga Iyengar now urges that he offered to pay the purchase money in 1879 and produces copies of certain endorsements alleged to have been issued to him in reply to his petitions in the year 1879 But the records of the year 1879 are not forthcoming and the register extracts included in the vernacular records do not make mention of the endorsements relied upon by Ranga Iyengar

7. The Dy. Commr however recommends that the stone mantaps deserve to be preserved
Submitted for orders.

Bbn 8 On the ground that
(19) 13/1/11 the mantaps were a
nuisance and were giving shelter
to thieves &c, they were ordered to
be removed. Unless Govt consider
that the mantaps deserve to be
preserved as now recommended
by the Deputy Comr, the petitioners
may be informed that Govt see

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55/50—27-4-09

Serial No.

no grounds to reconsider their order dated 5th Jan 1910
9. 1st Cr) 16-1-11 Mle

20a
17-1-11

I have seen these Mantaps which are part of the old Fort. They are built of cut Chiselled stone I agree with the Dy Commr that they might be preserved and kept in a better Condition.
The sale of the Mantap to Abdul Kadus may be cancelled the sale of the other Mantap to Narsimacharlu has already been confirmed But this does not matter much as it is the inter gate

17/1/11

11 Put up draft accordingly
18 / 11 Mle

12 Draft put up.

13 It is submitted that nothing has been stated as to whether the Mantap ordered to be preserved, should be handed over to Range Ingnar or not. If considered

GPS—33,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.

necessary, a line to this effect may be added in the draft.

(19) 28/1/11 To Revised draft put up

To Issue

28.1.11

5 To the Dy Comm.r, Bangalore.

No 6412 dy 28.1.11

States that, out of the 2 mantaps, the ~~auction~~ sale of the auction to one Narasimhachetty has been confirmed and that ~~accordingly~~ the sale need not therefore be disturbed and that as in case of the sale of which to Abdul Khadir has not been confirmed may be presumed as proposed by the Dy Comm.r and that the sale may accordingly be cancelled and the mantaps preserved and kept in a satisfactory condition

Note—Contin. sheet.

Mysl. P.S.

2

Mysl 1910. 135-10

No	1922
Name of Petitioner—	M Rangaswamy
Village—	Chamarajahalla Mysore
Taluk—	Mysore
District—	Mysore
Date of Arm—	28-5-10
Date of Receipt—	31-5-10
Date of Disposal—	
No of Enclosure—	2 Slips

D 2-(16/6 Rangaswamy)

To

The Deputy Commr

Bangalore District for

report with papers of

any

16/6/10

Petition

1923

(2/6/10)

17/6/10

17/6/10

1856

ABSTRACT

petition praying for the revision of G O no 5668-9 D 5 1 10

The petitioner has purchased at an auction sale a stone mantab at Channapatna about the year 1879 the sale having been confirmed in 1908 he was ordered to remove down or take the mantab & remove the stones etc ~~from~~ The petitioner now refuses to comply on the ground of the

Mandab was intended
to serve as a piece of
wordship on Thyagadasam
it has been again added
to at an auction which
the petitioner prays might
be set aside pending the
disposal of the this his
appeal in the matter of

[illegible].

+

ಸುಪ್ರೀಂಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ.

ವಿಶೇಷ ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ವಿನಂತಿ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಸ.ನಂ. ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ 5-1-1910 ರಂದು ಸಬ್ಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ 6668-9 ಮತ್ತು 479-07-3 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ

ಹೀಗಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ - ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ವಯ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು 1976 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಖರೀದಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಲೇಔಟ್ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಲೇಔಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 150 ಕ್ಕೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ನಿವೇಶನಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಲೇಔಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಉದ್ಯಾನವನ, ಆಟದ ಮೈದಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಸದರಿ ಲೇಔಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಚರಂಡಿ, ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ, ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ದೀಪಗಳ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಲೇಔಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಚರಂಡಿ, ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ, ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ದೀಪಗಳ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

2. ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಉಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಟ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ರಿಟ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಉಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ದಿನಾಂಕ 6-9-79 ರಲ್ಲಿ ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ದಿನಾಂಕ 9-9-79 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಆದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಉದ್ಯಾನವನ, ಆಟದ ಮೈದಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಹೊರತುಪಡಿಸಿ ಉಳಿದ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು

ಅಂತೆಯೇ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಮಧ್ಯಂತರ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಕಾರಣಗಳು ಕಂಡುಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ

6

ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ದಾಸಪ್ಪ ಗಾಣಿಗೇರ ಸಾ: ಮಂಗಳವಾರಪೇಟೆ ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಇವರು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಡಮಾನ ಇಟ್ಟು ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಡಮಾನ ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೫-೬-೧೦

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು

ಅಡಮಾನ ಇಟ್ಟವರು

ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬಹುದಾದರೆ ಕೆ.ಎಲ್.ಇ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ, ಕೆ.ಇ.ಬೋರ್ಡ್, ಧಾರವಾಡ, ಕೆ.ಸಿ.ಡಿ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ, ಧಾರವಾಡ, ಕೆ.ಸಿ.ಟಿ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ, ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಾ, ಕೆ.ಎಲ್.ಎಸ್. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ಕೆ.ಎಲ್.ಇ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

Suppl 135 Ad-2

A 1110

1910.

No	1923
Name of Petitioner—	Inhabitants of Malur Channapatna
Village—	Malur
Taluk—	Channapatna
District—	Bangalore
Date of Arzi—	28/5/10
Date of Receipt—	31 5 10
Date of Disposal—	-
No of Enclosure—	nil

Vide with this put up Rangaiya No 1922

ABSTRACT

State that the stone mantap at Channapatna which Mr M Rangaiya has purchased and consecrated to God Apprameyaswamy to serve as a place of worship on Vyayadasami day, has been ordered to be demolished & the stone to be removed, and pray that this order may be cancelled as it is an ancient building rather to be preserved than destroyed



G.R.S 34] ಕಂಪ್ಲೀಟ್

Sun Sus 23-10. 17

No 3202 ml 135-10-3.

GOVERNMENT OF H H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Municipal)

Office Memorandum.

To

the 3d October Septr 1910

The accompanying petitions Reg. No 1990 & 1994 received at the Govt House Sus from M. Rangaiyengar and the residents of Madur, Channapatna Taluk, respectively regarding a stone mantap at Channapatna, are forwarded to the Dy Commr of the Bangalore District, for submission of a report together with the entire records relating to the subject. The Petitions should be returned with the report

By Order

for Chief Secy.

MGC PR—5,000—1-8-05

1911 7/11

From The Deputy Commissioner & President of the Bombay Presidency Sanitary Board

To The Secretary to the Government of Bombay Revenue Department

Dated 3/1/11

Despatched 7/1/11

Received

General No. 134
No

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits report on petitions received from Ramjiwan & others of Mallum Thanapatty &c re the sale of a stone manufactory

D.F. No. 134 / Encl file

Office of the President and Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Dated 3rd January 1910

From. B. J. Kumarasamy Naik Esquire B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt.

To C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore -
General & Revenue department
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No 3202 Municipal 135-10-3 Dated 3rd October 1910, forwarding for report petitions from Rangegowda and the residents of Malur Channapatna Taluk, praying that the sale of a stone mantap at Channapatna may be stayed, I have the honor to state as follows - The sale of the two stone gates with mantaps situated by the side of the road leading to Mysore was sanctioned by Government vide Govt order No 11374/Rev 489-06-2 Dated 1-6-1907. In the auction sale

Sale one Narasimha Setty purchased
one of the mantaps for Rs. 150/ Before
auctioning the remaining mantap the
petitioner Rangiengar put in a claim
stating that the mantap was sold to him
in 1876 for the purpose of being used
as a halting place during the utsavam
of Sri Appremeya swami of malur.
The sale that took place in 1876 was not
confirmed in the name of Rangiengar
as he did not pay the sale amount
until 1907.

Thereupon I ordered that Rangiengar
might be given a notice to pay up
the sale amount — viz. Rs 150/ and
remove the stones of the mantap
said to have been sold to him in 1876
Narasimha Setty who purchased one
of the mantaps put in a petition to
the Revenue Commissioner against
this office order Confirming the
sale of one of the mantaps in favour
of the petitioner Rangiengar. Thereupon

Office of the President

it was ordered in Govt order No. 3668-9 Dated 5-1-10 that as Rangeegar acquired no right to the property by the auction sale of 1876, his claim should, as recommended by the Revenue Commissioner, be rejected and the gate resold. In pursuance of this order, the gate was sold on 18th May 1910 to one Abdul Khudus for Rs 320/-

The petitioners now pray that the Govt order of 5th January 1910 may be rescinded and the stone mantaps ordered to be retained in tact without being demolished.

Pending the orders of Government on the present petition, the confirmation of the sale has been deferred. I have seen the stone mantaps and I think they deserve to be preserved

The petition received with your official memorandum under reply together with the Vernacular records bearing on the subject are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most obedient Servant

Dy Commissioner

16-1-11

G.R.S 28]

File No 135-1910
Serial No 5

Diary No of letter replied to 6480

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Transfers)

, the 19

Date of issue 26.1.11

Signed by MRKMR

In Vernacular
mg-2-11

READ—

Govt. Progs. No-5660-9/Rev
dt 29-07-1910 regarding the
claim of one Ranga Iyengar of Indian
to a mantap at Channapatna sold to
him in an auction sale held in 1876 on
the ground that he failed to pay up the
price for which he bid at the said sale
till 1907 and directing that the mantap
be resold by public auction.

(2) Correspondence ending with
Letter No-1343-3-1 1911 from the Dy
Comm^r, Bangalore Dt, forwarding,
with reference to the petition of Ranga Iyengar
and the residents of Indian Channapatna

over

SUBJECT

GPK—10,000 2-12-07

praying for a reconsideration of the above
& and for the preservation of the mantaps
in Cadj that the mantaps deserve to be
preserved

Order thereon 6412/ml/135-10 & 28.1.11

Of the 2 mantaps in Channapatna
the preservation of which is prayed for, the
outer one has been sold to Narasimha
Chetty and the sale also confirmed
This sale need not therefore be
disturbed.

The inner one has been sold
to Abdul Khudus in accordance
with the Govt. Order read above;
but this sale has not been confirmed.
Govt. agree with the Dy Comm^r in
thinking that ~~it~~ it might be preserved,
~~and kept in a decent condition.~~
The sale of the mantap to Abdul
Khudus shall accordingly be
Cancelled and the mantap ~~is to be~~ preserved and
kept in a satisfactory
condition.

Sd/-
for Offg Secy
& 29/1

KP
27/1/11

To the Dy Comm^r Bangalore Dist
returning the Dist: papers.